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Abstract

Wimbledon is one of the most popular annual sports tournament. In the Men's Single 2009 the top seeded and defending champion Rafael Nadal withdrew from the tournament due to injury days prior to the tournament. Here, we try to analyze the effects of Nadal’s withdrawal especially on the ability/strength of the main competitor Roger Federer by using bookmakers expectancies to estimate the unknown abilities of the players and compare them for two different odds sets. The comparison shows that the bookmakers did not incorporate Nadal’s withdrawal adequately, assigning too high expected winning probabilities to Federer and Murray.
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Wimbledon is one of the most popular annual sports tournament. In the Gentlemen's Single 2009 the top seeded and defending champion Rafael Nadal withdrew from the tournament due to injury days prior to the tournament. Here, we try to analyze the effects of Nadal’s withdrawal especially on the ability/strength of... If the seedings hold, Federer would meet Djokovic in the semifinals, with Nadal taking on Murray. That quartet has combined to win each of the past 14 titles at Wimbledon; Federer beat Nadal in the 2006 and 2007 finals, then lost to him in the 2008 title match... Federer turns 36 on August 8, and Nadal just turned 31, but both are back to playing quite well this year. The potential women’s quarterfinals are Kerber vs Svetlana Kuznetsova in a matchup between a pair of two-time major champions; 2016 US Open runner-up Pliskova vs two-time US Open finalist Wozniacki; Svitolina vs Dominika Cibulkova; and Halep vs Johanna Konta, Britain’s best hope for its first women’s champion since Virginia Wade in 1977. Wimbledon’s seeding formula, based mainly on the ATP rankings with an added emphasis on grass-court events, is treated as gospel. If the numbers say Nadal is No. 5, the argument goes, then he must be seeded No. 5. What’s with the misguided sense of fairness, as if there’s nobility in clinging to an already-arbitrary rankings system? That’s not to say there aren’t valid arguments in support of keeping Nadal at No. 5. There are and they’re written in bold below. The counterpoints are stronger. Roger Federer, who could be burned by the Nadal seeding if it results in a quarterfinal matchup, endorses this view. “Clearly it changes the draw, the dynamics of it, but not more than that, really,” he said Sunday. “I mean, the quarterfinal is not the first round.