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I. INTRODUCTION

As the world’s fourth largest country and the most populous Muslim nation on earth, Indonesia hosts an array of Muslim groups ranging from traditional Islam to highly liberal. The vast majority of Indonesian Muslims are moderate and tolerant, but several radical groups have emerged. The assumption of emerging Indonesian Muslim extremists became clearer when al-Qaeda was introduced to the region by Abdullah Sungkar.1 The first indications of al-Qaeda’s plan to infiltrate Indonesia became known to Indonesian intelligence in 1998, a few months before Sungkar left Malaysia and returned to Indonesia. Letters sent by those who are prominent Islamic figures in Indonesia were intercepted. They contained a message from Osama bin Laden: “that the most important obligation for Muslims nowadays was to work hard in order to free Arabian lands from the grip of the enemy of Allah, especially pointing to American Christians and the Jews”. Reflecting their relationship to the al-Qaeda leader, Sungkar also stated in the letter that “they were willing to show the most secure way to visit Osama whenever the Islamic prominent figures would like to do so”. In response, Al-Qaeda dispatched a high-powered delegation to the region to enhance ideological influence and operational commitment. Foreign intelligence agencies reported that Ayman al-Zawahiri and Muhammad Atef visited Moluccas and Irian Jaya in 2000, both areas affected by long-running conflict, thus conforming with Al Qaeda’s preference for regrouping in areas where the rule of law is weak.2 Many operations conducted by Al-Qaeda in Indonesia, the millennium bombings on Christmas Eve 2000, are very instructive of their tactics. The campaign of bombings against thirty churches in Jakarta, West Java, North Sumatera, Riau, Bandung, East Java and West Nusa Tenggara was coordinated with Al-Qaeda’. The Manila attacks and investigation revealed they were authorized from Malaysia and Afghanistan.3

It was no doubt that the tragic events of 911 were the responsibility of Al-Qaeda’s network. The 19 terrorists were identified as Muslims and they have set American Muslims back. America before 911 was on the verge of accepting Islam as one of its own, but after the attack of 911 it has paused to once again reassess Islam and Muslims.4 Americans were crying out in anguish: “Why do they hate us”? The question was echoed throughout Europe, since no doubt the attack was directed not just at America, but at the whole of Western civilization.5

What happened on 911 and afterwards has had significant and mostly negative consequences for the Muslim communities in Europe, and has set back the process of mutual accommodation between Muslims and European populations and the integration of both Islam and Muslims into Europe’s social, cultural and political landscape.6 In the aftermath of 911, people of goodwill on both sides of the divide between the Judeo-Christian and Muslim worlds were filled with deep anxieties. For Westerners, it seemed that a dreadful clash of civilizations had become imminent and unavoidable. For Muslims, it was clear that serious injury had been done to the most powerful nation on earth – a wound that could only call for terrible retaliation. Many Jews and Christians seized on the belief that something feral and evil in the faith of Muhammad had made 911 inevitable. Many Muslims feared that a new “crusade” against Islam would ensue, expressing deeply ingrained impulses in the West.7
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Fortunately, on September 17, 2001, President George W. Bush stood in the Islamic Centre of Washington DC, the capital's most important mosque. Following the events six days before, he sought to calm the fears of Americans about terrorism. “The face of terror is not the true face of Islam”, he said “Acts of violence against innocents violate the fundamental tenets of the Islamic faith and it’s important for my fellow Americans to understand that.”

In the light of the Tragedy of 911, Indonesia’s mainstream Islamic groups, Muhammadiyyah and Nahdlatul Ulama immediately denounced the bombings as cruel and uncivilized crimes against humanity. Further they stated that the recurrence of such cruel and uncivilized action depict the need to further strengthen the capacity of the security officials to disclose the terrorist’s network of their efforts in combating terrorism in Indonesia.

II. INDONESIA’S CRIMINAL POLICY ON TERRORISM

One year after the 911 tragedy, Indonesia was challenged by the Bali suicide bombing I of October 12, 2003, the JJ Marriott Hotel bombing of August 5, 2005 and the Bali suicide bombing II of October 1, 2005. The Indonesian government had to respond to these terrorist challenges within a difficult economic environment. The choice to actively join or not join in the fight against terrorism carried the weight of serious economic consequences. The decision to respond positively, if tentatively at first, to the call to combat global terrorism was welcomed by the U.S. and generally by the international community.

The following highlights Indonesia’s efforts so far to create a foundation on which Indonesia continues to build the strength and capacity to counter terrorism.

Indonesia recognizes the urgent need to mount a universal and concerted response to rid societies of criminal acts of terrorism. Indonesia adopted Law No.15/2003 and 16/2003 as needs arise to crackdown on terrorism following the terrorist bombing attack in Bali on 12 October 2002. The first law serves as the general guideline for combating terrorists. The second law is specially drawn up to deal with the terrorist attacks against tourists in Bali on 12 October 2002, which left nearly 200 dead. It stipulates the law enforcers’ powers to investigate and prosecute the perpetrators of the attack.

Two Presidential Instructions were also issued in the aftermath of the Bali tragedy, namely Presidential Instruction No. 4/2002 instructing the Coordinating Minister for Political and Security Affairs to formulate a comprehensive policy in combating terrorism, and Presidential Instruction No. 5/2002 instructing the Head of the National Intelligence Agency to coordinate the activities of all the other intelligence agencies. As a follow up to Presidential Instruction No. 4/2002, the Desk for Coordination of Eradicating Terrorism (DCET) was established within the Office of the Coordinating Minister for Political and Security Affairs. The Desk, composed of representatives from the relevant governmental agencies, is tasked to formulate Government policies in combating and eradicating terrorism in a coordinated manner.

The Law 16/2003 is drawn up to allow for the principle of retroactivity to be applied in the case of the 12 October 2002 terrorist attacks. Opposition to this principle of retroactivity maintains that it goes against both general legal principles and the 1945 Constitution. Critics also fear human rights violations resulting from the enforcement of such principle. However, terrorist attacks are not ordinary crimes. Terrorism has an indiscriminate, non-selective or random target. Terrorist attacks are extraordinary crimes intended to create a state of terror. Such crimes demand an extraordinary response. Nevertheless, the principle of retroactivity will strictly apply only for the purposes of investigation and prosecution against the perpetrators of the 12 October 2002 terrorist attacks.

The legislation on terrorism provides the death penalty for natural persons and a one trillion Rupiah maximum fine for a corporation convicted of committing or threatening to commit acts of terrorism. It allows the authorities to detain people for seven days in the absence of strong legal evidence that the person may have committed terrorist acts. Intelligence reports can be used as prima facie evidence after being approved by a court of law with the approval process taking no longer than three days.

8 Ibid., p. 226.
For investigation purposes, a suspect may be detained for six months. In implementing these regulations on terrorism, the government will do its utmost to avoid excesses for a better cause, which is to prevent violations of human rights and to protect its citizens from threats to their safety and security.

Following the 5 August 2003 bomb blast in Jakarta, the government of Indonesia revised Law No.15/2003 with a view to ensuring its effectiveness in efforts to eliminate the criminal acts of terrorism.

It is widely identified that terrorism activities may be funded from other kinds of crime, such as money laundering. Pursuant to Law No. 15/2002 pertaining to the Crime of Money Laundering, the government of Indonesia has also established an independent financial intelligence unit, the Indonesia Financial Transaction Report and Analysis Centre (INTRAC), the main task of which is to prevent and eradicate the crime of money laundering.

The Indonesian legislation on combating criminal acts of terrorism is a specific one, as it contains new provisions that are not found in prevailing legislation, and are deviating from the general provision of the Criminal Code and the Criminal Procedural Code.

This legislation also specifically contains the provision on the scope of international and transnational jurisdiction and special provisions on criminal acts of terrorism that relate to international terrorism activities. These special provisions are not discriminative in nature. Instead, they are the government’s commitment to exercise Article 3 of the Convention for the Suppression of Terrorist Bombing (1997) and the Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (1999).

More detailed specifications of this legislation are as follows:
1. The umbrella for other legislation relating to the elimination of criminal acts of terrorism;
2. This legislation is strengthened by criminal sanctions and simultaneously a coordinating act that strengthens the provisions of other legislation; and
   (i) Special provisions on the protection of the rights of the suspects/defendants, referred to as the “safeguarding rules”. The provisions introduce among others a new legal institution in the criminal procedural code referred to as a ‘hearing’ that functions as an institution for ‘legal audit’ to all intelligence reports and documents submitted by the investigators to determine whether or not to proceed with the investigation on alleged acts of terrorism;
   (ii) Affirming that criminal acts of terrorism are neither political crimes nor politically motivated crimes nor crimes with political objectives. Thereby allowing for the more effective implementation of bilateral and multilateral cooperation;
   (iii) Contains provisions that enable the President to establish a special ad hoc institution on the advice of the National Police Chief. The existence of the force is based on the principles of transparency and public accountability (sunshine principle) and/or the principle of effective time limit (sunset principle) so as to avoid abuse of power by the institution;
   (iv) Contains provisions on jurisdiction based on the territorial, extra-territorial and national-active principles, thus it is expected that this legislation can effectively reach criminal acts of terrorism as stipulated in this legislation beyond the national territorial boundaries of the Republic of Indonesia. In order to strengthen the jurisdiction, this legislation also contains provisions on international cooperation;
   (v) Contains provisions on the financing of terrorist activities as criminal acts of terrorism, simultaneously strengthening the law on Criminal Acts of Money Laundering;
3. Maintaining the application of special minimum criminal sanctions to discourage and deter the perpetrators of criminal acts of terrorism.

III. COUNTER TERRORISM: CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS APPROACH

The elimination of criminal acts of terrorism in Indonesia is not only a question of law and law enforcement but also a social, cultural, economic and religious issue that is closely-related to the security of the nation. Therefore, the policies and measures to prevent and eliminate it should also be aimed at maintaining the equilibrium in the obligation to protect the state’s sovereignty, the human rights of the victims, the witnesses and the suspects or defendants.
The unprecedented attack of 911 in the U.S. had a strong negative impact on the culture, politics, economy and beliefs of Indonesian Muslims as a whole. This negative impact became more seriously after the Bali tragedy on 12 October 2002, which appeared to be the second largest loss of life after 911 (killed over 200 and wounded a few hundred); again a shocking tragedy took place at the JW Marriott Hotel Jakarta on August 5, 2003, and Bali II, October 1, 2005. Buyers of Indonesian products have reportedly been unwilling to travel to Indonesia and have begun to source purchases elsewhere in the region. In part, this is a result of the perception that foreigners, particularly Americans, may be targets of extremists and the issuance of threats against American interests has reinforced this negative image of Indonesia. Indirect evidence of the negative impact of the September 11 attacks is seen in the fact that growth rates of US imports of nine of the ten top labour-intensive product groups from Indonesia were negative in the fourth quarter of 2001. In seven of nine cases, growth became negative, or if already negative, worsened in the fourth quarter compared with the third quarter of the year. These products include apparel items (5 SITC 3-digit product groups) footwear, and toys and sporting goods. The downside of the terrorist attacks of September 11 is likely to be magnified should Indonesia be unable to respond effectively to reverse these negative perceptions in the US.9

The defendant in the suicide bombing Bali I, Amrozi, described his Islamic opinions to his family which were covered by the local daily newspaper Pikiran Rakyat in regard to the Holy Day of Islam, Idul Fitr 1426 H in his Jail at Nusakambangan. He stated that he is an Islamic martyr and is proud to be sentenced to death. He believes that he will go to heaven as God’s reward for his act of terrorism which he regarded as Jihad in accordance with the Quran’s command. Undoubtedly, such an opinion is really wrong and depicts little knowledge of Islamic doctrine, but distorts and hijacks the meaning of jihad. Therefore, eliminating such acts of terrorism based on the right understanding of Islamic doctrine, especially on the meaning of jihad, must be a priority action of the government. Meanwhile the late suspected suicide bombers of Bali II (R. Aja’s Café Kuta Bali), Aip Hidayat, Misno and Salik Firdaus came from poor families and have little knowledge of Islam which allows them to be easily influenced by the misused concept of jihad and Islam. This is to say that economic factors and a lack of knowledge of the true meaning of jihad play a role in triggering someone to be involved in acts of terrorism. Therefore, dissemination of the true meaning of jihad and the danger of misusing its concept is greatly needed as a way to eliminate terrorism among the Muslims.

Eliminating terrorism within Muslim society, considering a religious approach, highly suggested disseminating the real meaning of jihad and “sword verses” and the abused ones as well. A short description on the meaning of true and abused Islamic jihad may be summarized as follows: The two broad meanings of jihad, non-violent and violent, are contrasted in a well-known Prophetic tradition. It’s said that when Muhammad returned from battle he told his followers: “We return from the lesser jihad (warfare) to the greater jihad”. The greater jihad is the more difficult and more important struggle against one’s ego, selfishness, greed, and evil.

Jihad is a concept with multiple meanings, used and abused throughout Islamic history. Although jihad has always been an important part of the Islamic tradition, in recent years some have maintained that it is a universal religious obligation for all true Muslims to join the jihad to promote Islamic reform or revolution. Some look around them and see a world dominated by corrupt authoritarian regimes and a wealthy elite minority concerned solely with its own economic prosperity and awash in Western culture and values. Western governments are perceived as propping up oppressive regimes and exploiting the region’s human and natural resources, robbing Muslims of their culture and their option to be governed according to their own choice and to live in a more just society.

The defensive nature of jihad is clearly emphasized in Q.2:190, “And fight in the way of God with those who fight you, but agrees not: God loves not the aggressors”. The Quran provided detailed guidelines and regulations regarding the conduct of war: who is to fight and who is exempted (Q 48:17, 9:91), when hostilities must cease (Q 2:192) and how prisoners should be treated (Q 47:4). The most important verses such as Q 2:294 emphasized that warfare and the response to violence and aggression must be proportional: “Whoever transgresses against you, respond in kind”.

However, Quranic verses also underscore that peace, not violence and warfare is the norm. Permission to fight the enemy balanced by a strong mandate for making peace; “If your enemy inclines toward peace, then you too should seek peace and put your trust in God” (Q 8:61) and “Had Allah wished, he would have made them dominate you, and so if they leave you alone and do not fight you and offer you peace, then Allah allows you no way against them” (4:90). From the earliest time, it was forbidden in Islam to kill non-combatants as well as women and children and monks and rabbis, who were given the promise of immunity unless they took part in fighting.

But what of those verses, sometimes referred to as the “sword verses” that call for killing unbelievers, such as, “When the sacred months have passed, slay the idolaters wherever you find them, and lie in wait for them, at every place of ambush” (Q 9:5). These same verses have also been selectively used or abused by religious extremist to develop a theology of hate and intolerance and to legitimate unconditional warfare against unbelievers. The Ulama (religious scholar) said that “sword verses” abrogated or overrode the earlier Quranic verses that limited jihad to defensive war: in fact, however, the full intent of “When the sacred months have passed, slay the idolaters wherever you find them” is missed or distorted when quoted in isolation. For it is followed and qualified by: “But if they repent and fulfil their devotional obligations and pay zakat (charitable tax on Muslims), then let them go their way, for God is forgiving and kind” Q.9:5. The same is true of another often quoted verse: “Fight those who believe not in God nor Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which had been forbidden by God and His Apostle, nor hold the religion of truth [even if they are] of the People of the Book,” which is often cited without the line that follows “Until they pay the tax with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued” Q 9:29.

Terrorists like Osama bin Laden as well as Indonesian terrorists go beyond classical Islam’ criteria for a just jihad and recognize no limits but their own, employing weapons or means. They reject Islamic law’s regulations regarding the goals and legitimate means for a valid jihad: that violence must be proportional and that only the necessary amount of force should be used to repel the enemy, that innocent civilians should not be targeted, and that jihad must be declared by the ruler or head of state. Today, individuals and groups, religious and lay, seize the right to declare and legitimate an unholy war of terrorism in the name of Islam.

Although most Indonesians are Sunni and follow the Islamic school of law response to marginalize extremists and develop a political theory that emphasizes stability over chaos and anarchy, this does not dissuade all from an extremist path. After 911 and a couple of years before, extremist groups have risen up to challenge the Indonesian government and terrorized their population and attack foreign interests. As stated by “an Indonesian suspected terrorist” that he is the true believer struggling against a pagan society of unbelief or the acts of idolaters in the country. The terrorists attempt to impose their ideological brand of Islam and “hijacked” Islamic doctrines such as jihad, claiming to be defending true Islam, to legitimate their illegitimate use of violence and acts of terrorism.

**IV. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Combating terrorism and securing the nation and the people from future terrorist attacks are the top priority of the Indonesian government.

Indonesian Muslims denounced the terrorist attacks as cruel and uncivilized crimes against humanity. However, this most populous Muslim nation on earth, Indonesia, hosts an array of Muslim groups ranging from traditionalist to highly liberal.

The early combating of terrorism will be more effective through the reformation of an Islamic curriculum for all levels of education endorsed by the Government and the Ulama (Muslim Scholars), individually and institutionally.
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