Exploring the Text

1. Wangari Muta Maathai addresses her audience several times, at the beginning of
her speech and again in paragraphs 10, 27, 35, and 40. What is the purpose of this
repetition? Does each address serve a unique purpose, or are they all the same? Do
you think the occasion affected Maathai’s decision to use multiple addresses?
Explain.

2. In accepting the Nobel Peace Prize, Maathai discusses democracy and the natural
environment more than she discusses peace. Explain why you do or do not agree
that they are inextricably linked to peace, as she says.

3. In paragraph 13, Maathai says, “I came to understand that when the environment
is destroyed, plundered or mismanaged, we undermine our quality of life and that
of future generations.” What is the underlying assumption that connects her claim
and support in this statement?

4. Based on paragraph 15, what were the main impediments to the work of the Green
Belt Movement? What is the relationship between paragraphs 15 and 16?

5. In paragraph 16, Maathai discusses the importance of education. Do you agree
with her views?

6. What is the rhetorical effect of recognizing, as Maathai does throughout, the
power of the Nobel Peace Prize?

7. Maathai says, “That time is now” (para. 30), when discussing the need for a shift in
our consciousness regarding our relationship with the natural world. Do you
agree with her sense of urgency? Why or why not?

8. How does Maathai use examples from her youth, including the one about tadpoles
(para. 41), to appeal to ethos?

9. How does the context of this speech — the occasion of the Nobel Peace Prize and
Maathai’s status as a “first” — influence its content and tone?

Against Nature

JOYCE CAROL OATES

Joyce Carol Oates was born in Lockport, New York, in 1938. With a typewriter
that she received at age fourteen, Oates wrote “novel after novel” in high school
and college in order to train herself to be a writer. Oates, who received a bachel-
or’s degree from Syracuse University and a master’s in English from the University
of Wisconsin, is currently the Roger S. Berlind Distinguished Professor of the
Humanities at Princeton. She is the youngest author ever to receive the National
Book Award — for her novel Them (1969). A highly regarded novelist, play-
wright, poet, and journalist, Oates is highly prolific, having published over thirty
novels and numerous other works including the novels Black Water (1992), We
Were the Mulvaneys (1996), and The Falls (2004). Her work often addresses the
violence and suspense lurking beneath ordinary life. “Against Nature,” in which
she offers a “dissenting opinion,” as the New York Times put it, is from her 1988 collection, (Woman) Writer: Occasions and Opportunities.

We soon get through with Nature. She excites an expectation which she cannot satisfy.

— Thoreau, Journal, 1854

Sir, if a man has experienced the inexpressible, he is under no obligation to attempt to express it.

— Samuel Johnson

The writer’s resistance to Nature.

It has no sense of humor: in its beauty, as in its ugliness, or its neutrality, there is no laughter.

It lacks a moral purpose.

It lacks a satiric dimension, registers no irony.

Its pleasures lack resonance, being accidental; its horrors, even when premeditated, are equally perfunctory, “red in tooth and claw,” et cetera.

It lacks a symbolic subtext — excepting that provided by man.

It has no (verbal) language.

It has no interest in ours.

It inspires a painfully limited set of responses in “nature writers” — REVERENCE, AWE, PIETY, MYSTICAL ONENESS.

It eludes us even as it prepares to swallow us up, books and all.

I was lying on my back in the dirt gravel of the towpath beside the Delaware and Raritan Canal, Titusville, New Jersey, staring up at the sky and trying, with no success, to overcome a sudden attack of tachycardia that had come upon me out of nowhere — such attacks are always “out of nowhere,” that’s their charm — and all around me Nature thrummed with life, the air smelling of moisture and sunlight, the canal reflecting the sky, red-winged blackbirds testing their spring calls; the usual. I’d become the jar in Tennessee, a fictitious center,1 or parenthesis, aware beyond my erratic heartbeat of the numberless heartbeats of the earth, its pulsing, pumping life, sheer life, incalculable. Struck down in the midst of motion — I’d been jogging a minute before — I was “out of time” like a fallen, stunned boxer, privileged (in an abstract manner of speaking) to be an involuntary witness to the random, wayward, nameless motion on all sides of me.

Paroxysmal tachycardia can be fatal, but rarely; if the heartbeat accelerates to 250–270 beats a minute you’re in trouble, but the average attack is about 100–150 beats and mine seemed about average; the trick now was to prevent it from getting worse. Brainy people try brainy strategies, such as thinking calming thoughts, pseudo-mystic thoughts, If I die now it’s a good death, that sort of thing,

---

1Reference to “Anecdote of the Jar,” a poem by Wallace Stevens. — Eds.
if I die this is a good place and good time; the idea is to deceive the frenzied heart-beat that, really, you don’t care; you hadn’t any other plans for the afternoon. The important thing with tachycardia is to prevent panic! you must prevent panic! otherwise you’ll have to be taken by ambulance to the closest emergency room, which is not so very nice a way to spend the afternoon, really. So I contemplated the blue sky overhead. The earth beneath my head. Nature surrounding me on all sides; I couldn’t quite see it but I could hear it, smell it, sense it, there is something there, no mistake about it. Completely oblivious to the predicament of the individual but that’s only “natural,” after all, one hardly expects otherwise.

When you discover yourself lying on the ground, limp and unresisting, head in the dirt, and, let’s face it, helpless, the earth seems to shift forward as a presence; hard, emphatic, not mere surface but a genuine force — there is no other word for it but presence. To keep in motion is to keep in time, and to be stopped, stilled, is to be abruptly out of time, in another time dimension perhaps, an alien one, where human language has no resonance. Nothing to be said about it expresses it, nothing touches it, it’s an absolute against which nothing human can be measured. . . . Moving through space and time by way of your own volition you inhabit an interior consciousness, a hallucinatory consciousness, it might be said, so long as breath, heartbeat, the body’s autonomy hold; when motion is stopped you are jarred out of it. The interior is invaded by the exterior. The outside wants to come in, and only the self’s fragile membrane prevents it.

The fly buzzing at Emily’s death.3

Still, the earth is your place. A tidy grave site measured to your size. Or, from another angle of vision, one vast democratic grave.

Let’s contemplate the sky. Forget the crazy hammering heartbeat, don’t listen to it, don’t start counting, remember that there is a clever way of breathing that conserves oxygen as if you’re lying below the surface of a body of water breathing through a very thin straw but you can breathe through it if you’re careful, if you don’t panic; one breath and then another and then another, isn’t that the story of all lives? careers? Just a matter of breathing. Of course it is. But contemplate the sky, it’s there to be contemplated. A mild shock to see it so blank, blue, a thin airy ghostly blue, no clouds to disguise its emptiness. You are beginning to feel not only weightless but near-bodiless, lying on the earth like a scrap of paper about to be blown off. Two dimensions and you’d imagined you were three! And there’s the sky rolling away forever, into infinity — if “infinity” can be “rolled into” — and the forlorn truth is, that’s where you’re going too. And the lovely blue isn’t even blue, is it? isn’t even there, is it? a mere optical illusion, isn’t it? no matter what art has urged you to believe.

Early Nature memories. Which it’s best not to suppress.

. . . Wading, as a small child, in Tonawanda Creek near our house, and afterward trying to tear off, in a frenzy of terror and revulsion, the sticky fat black

3Reference to “I heard a fly buzz, when I died,” a poem by Emily Dickinson. — Eds.
bloodsuckers that had attached themselves to my feet, particularly between my toes.

... Coming upon a friend's dog in a drainage ditch, dead for several days, evidently the poor creature had been shot by a hunter and left to die, bleeding to death, and we're stupefied with grief and horror but can't resist sliding down to where he's lying on his belly, and we can't resist squatting over him, turning the body over.

... The raccoon, mad with rabies, frothing at the mouth and tearing at his own belly with his teeth, so that his intestines spill out onto the ground ... a sight I seem to remember though in fact I did not see. I've been told I did not see.

Consequently, my chronic uneasiness with Nature mysticism; Nature adoration; Nature-as-(moral)-instruction-for-mankind. My doubt that one can, with philosophical validity, address "Nature" as a single coherent noun, anything other than a Platonic, hence discredited, is-ness. My resistance to "Nature writing" as a genre, except when it is brilliantly fictionalized in the service of a writer's individual vision — Thoreau's books and Journal, of course, but also, less known in this country, the miniaturist prose poems of Colette (Flowers and Fruit) and Ponge (Taking the Side of Things)5 — in which case it becomes yet another, and ingenious, form of storytelling. The subject is there only by the grace of the author's language.

Nature has no instructions for mankind except that our poor beleaguered humanist-democratic way of life, our fantasies of the individual's high worth, our sense that the weak, no less than the strong, have a right to survive, are absurd. When Edmund of King Lear said excitedly, "Nature, be thou my goddess!" he knew whereof he spoke.

In any case, where is Nature, one might (skeptically) inquire. Who has looked upon her/its face and survived?

But isn't this all exaggeration, in the spirit of rhetorical contentiousness? Surely Nature is, for you, as for most reasonably intelligent people, a "perennial" source of beauty, comfort, peace, escape from the delirium of civilized life; a respite from the ego's ever-frantic strategies of self-promotion, as a way of ensuring (at least in fantasy) some small measure of immortality? Surely Nature, as it is understood in the usual slapdash way, as human, if not dilettante, experience (hiking in a national park, jogging on the beach at dawn, even tending, with the usual comical frustrations, a suburban garden), is wonderfully consoling; a place where, when you go there, it has to take you in? — a palimpsest of sorts you choose to read,

---

1 Colette was a twentieth-century French novelist known for sophisticated love stories; Francois Ponge was a twentieth-century French poet noted for his prose poems. — Eds.
2 Edmund is the evil brother in Shakespeare's King Lear. — Eds.
3 Allusion to the definition of home in Robert Frost's poem "Death of the Hired Man." — Eds.
layer by layer, always with care, always cautiously, in proportion to your psychological strength?

Nature: as in Thoreau's upbeat Transcendentalist mode (“The indescribable innocence and beneficence of Nature, — such health, such cheer, they afford forever! and such sympathy have they ever with our race, that all Nature would be affected . . . if any man should ever for a just cause grieve”), and not in Thoreau’s grim mode (“Nature is hard to be overcome but she must be overcome”).


The former, Nature-in-itself, is, to allude slantwise to Melville, a blankness ten times blank;6 the latter is what we commonly, or perhaps always, mean when we speak of Nature as a noun, a single entity — something of ours. Most of the time it’s just an activity, a sort of hobby, a weekend, a few days, perhaps a few hours, staring out the window at the mind-dazzling autumn foliage of, say, northern Michigan, being rendered speechless — temporarily — at the sight of Mt. Shasta, the Grand Canyon, Ansel Adams’s7 West. Or Nature writ small, contained in the back yard. Nature filtered through our optical nerves, our “senses,” our fiercely romantic expectations. Nature that pleases us because it mirrors our souls, or gives the comforting illusion of doing so.

Nature as the self’s (flattering) mirror, but not ever, no, never, Nature-in-itself.

Nature is mouths, or maybe a single mouth. Why glamorize it, romanticize it? — well, yes, but we must, we’re writers, poets, mystics (of a sort) aren’t we, precisely what else are we to do but glamorize and romanticize and generally exaggerate the significance of anything we focus the white heat of our “creativity” upon? And why not Nature, since it’s there, common property, mute, can’t talk back, allows us the possibility of transcending the human condition for a while, writing prettily of mountain ranges, white-tailed deer, the purple crocuses outside this very window, the thrumming dazzling “life force” we imagine we all support. Why not?

Nature is more than a mouth — it’s a dazzling variety of mouths. And it pleases the senses, in any case, as the physicists’ chill universe of numbers certainly does not.

Oscar Wilde on our subject:

Nature is no great mother who has borne us. She is our creation. It is in our brain that she quickens to life. Things are because we see them, and what we see, and how we see it, depends on the Arts that have influenced us. To look at a thing is very different from seeing a thing . . . At present, people see fogs, not because there are fogs, but because poets and painters have taught them the mysterious loveliness of such effects. There may have been fogs for centuries in London. I dare say there were. But no one saw them. They did not exist until Art had

---

6Allusion to the description of the white whale in Herman Melville’s Moby Dick. — Eds.
7Reference to Ansel Adams, a photographer of the American West. — Eds.
invented them... Yesterday evening Mrs. Arundel insisted on my going to the window and looking at the glorious sky, as she called it. And so I had to look at it... And what was it? It was simply a very second-rate Turner,* a Turner of a bad period, with all the painter's worst faults exaggerated and over-emphasized.

— "The Decay of Lying," 1889

(If we were to put it to Oscar Wilde that he exaggerates, his reply might well be, "Exaggeration? I don't know the meaning of the word.")

*Walden*, that most artfully composed of prose fictions, concludes, in the rhapsodic chapter "Spring," with Henry David Thoreau's contemplation of death, decay, and regeneration as it is suggested to him, or to his protagonist, by the spectacle of vultures feeding off carrion. There is a dead horse close by his cabin, and the stench of its decomposition, in certain winds, is daunting. Yet "the assurance it gave me of the strong appetite and inviolable health of Nature was my compensation for this. I love to see that Nature is so rife with life that myriads can be afforded to be sacrificed and suffered to prey upon one another; that tender organizations can be so serenely squashed out of existence like pulp,— tadpoles which herons gobble up, and tortoises and toads run over in the road; and that sometimes it has rained flesh and blood!... The impression made on a wise man is that of universal innocence."

Come off it, Henry David. You've grieved these many years for your elder brother, John, who died a ghastly death of lockjaw: you've never wholly recovered from the experience of watching him die. And you know or must know, that you're fated too to die young of consumption... But this doctrinaire Transcendentalist passage ends *Walden* on just the right note. It's as impersonal, as coolly detached, as the Oversoul itself: a "wise man" filters his emotions through his brain.

Or through his prose.

Nietzsche: "We all pretend to ourselves that we are more simple-minded than we are: that is how we get a rest from our fellow men."

Once out of nature I shall never take
My bodily form from any natural thing.
But such a form as Grecian goldsmiths make
Of hammered gold and gold enamelling
To keep a drowsy Emperor awake;
Or set upon a golden bough to sing
To lords and ladies of Byzantium
Of what is past, or passing, or to come.

— WILLIAM BUTLER YEATS, "Sailing to Byzantium"

*English painter J. M. W. Turner (c. 1775–1851), who was known for his paintings of the sea and sunsets. — Eds.*
Yet even the golden bird is a "bodily form [taken from a] natural thing." No, it's impossible to escape!

_The writer's resistance to Nature._

Wallace Stevens: "In the presence of extraordinary actuality, consciousness takes the place of imagination."

Once, years ago, in 1972 to be precise, when I seemed to have been another person, related to the person I am now as one is related, tangentially, sometimes embarrassingly, to cousins not seen for decades — once, when we were living in London, and I was very sick, I had a mystical vision. That is, I "had" a "mystical vision" — the heart sinks: such pretension — or something resembling one. A fever dream, let's call it. It impressed me enormously and impresses me still, though I've long since lost the capacity to see it with my mind's eye, or even, I suppose, to believe in it. There is a statute of limitations on "mystical visions," as on romantic love.

I was very sick, and I imagined my life as a thread, a thread of breath, or heartbeat, or pulse, or light — yes, it was light, radiant light; I was burning with fever and I ascended to that plane of serenity that might be mistaken for (or is, in fact) Nirvana, where I had a waking dream of uncanny lucidity:

- My body is a tall column of light and heat.
- My body is not "I" but "it."
- My body is not one but many.

My body, which "I" inhabit, is inhabited as well by other creatures, unknown to me, imperceptible — the smallest of them mere sparks of light.

My body, which I perceive as substance, is in fact an organization of infinitely complex, overlapping, imbricated structures, radiant light their manifestation, the "body" a tall column of light and blood heat, a temporary agreement among atoms, like a high-rise building with numberless rooms, corridors, corners, elevator shafts, windows... In this fantastical structure the "I" is deluded as to its sovereignty, let alone its autonomy in the (outside) world; the most astonishing secret is that the "I" doesn't exist! — but it behaves as if it does, as if it were one and not many.

In any case, without the "I" the tall column of light and heat would die, and the microscopic life particles would die with it... will die with it. The "I," which doesn't exist, is everything.

But Dr. Johnson is right, the inexpressible need not be expressed.

And what resistance, finally? There is none.

This morning, an invasion of tiny black ants. One by one they appear, out of nowhere — that's their charm too! — moving single file across the white Parsons
table where I am sitting, trying without much success to write a poem. A poem of only three or four lines is what I want, something short, tight, mean; I want it to hurt like a white-hot wire up the nostrils, small and compact and turned in upon itself with the density of a hunk of rock from the planet Jupiter.

But here come the black ants: harbingers, you might say, of spring. One by one by one they appear on the dazzling white table and one by one I kill them with a forefinger, my deft right forefinger, mashing each against the surface of the table and then dropping it into a wastebasket at my side. Idle labor, mesmerizing, effortless, and I'm curious as to how long I can do it — sit here in the brilliant March sunshine killing ants with my right forefinger — how long I, and the ants, can keep it up.

After a while I realize that I can do it a long time. And that I've written my poem.