CD62L (L-selectin) shedding for assessment of perioperative immune sensitivity in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass

Abstract

Objective

To investigate the suitability of blood granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity, as measured by the quantity of different agonists required to induce CD62L shedding, for assessment of perioperative immune changes in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass.

Methods

Patients scheduled for aortocoronary bypass grafting or for valve surgery were included in this prospective observational study. Blood samples were drawn before anesthesia induction, directly after surgery and 48 hours after anesthesia induction. We determined the concentration of two different inflammatory stimuli – lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF) - required to induce shedding of 50% of surface CD62L from blood granulocytes and monocytes. In parallel monocyte surface human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DR, and plasma interleukin (IL)-8, soluble (s)CD62L, soluble (s)Toll-like receptor (TLR)-2 and ADAM17 quantification were used to illustrate perioperative immunomodulation.

Results

25 patients were enrolled. Blood granulocytes and monocytes showed decreased sensitivity to the TLR 2/6 agonist Staphylococcus aureus LTA immediately after surgery (p=0.001 and p=0.004 respectively). In contrast, granulocytes (p=0.01), but not monocytes (p=0.057) displayed...
a decreased postoperative sensitivity to TNF. We confirmed the presence of a systemic inflammatory response and a decreased immune sensitivity in the post-surgical period by measuring significant increases in the perioperative plasma concentration of IL-8 (p≤0.001) and sTLR (p<0.004), and decreases in monocyte HLA-DR (p<0.001), plasma sCD62L (p≤0.001). In contrast, ADAM17 plasma levels did not show significant differences over the observation period (p=0.401).

Conclusions

Monitoring granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity using the “CD62L shedding assay” in the perioperative period in cardiac surgical patients treated with the use of cardiopulmonary bypass reveals common changes in sensitivity to TLR2/6 ligands and to TNF stimulus. Further long-term follow-up studies will address the predictive value of these observations for clinical purposes.

Introduction

The clinical introduction of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) in 1953 by John Gibbon [1] opened a novel era in cardiac surgery, but confronted clinicians with previously unrecognized issues. Today, it is widely accepted that (i) exposure of blood to air and artificial surfaces in the extracorporeal circuit, (ii) ischemia-reperfusion injury and (iii) translocation of “pathogen associated molecular patterns” across the gut mucosal barrier are contributing factors to the activation of various molecular cascades resulting in systemic inflammatory response syndrome [2]. Clinical correlates range from mild myocardial depression or low systemic vascular resistance to life-threatening complications such as multi-organ failure. Besides this usually transient inflammatory response syndrome some patients acquire nosocomial infections (perioperative incidence 5% and 21% respectively [3, 4]) with influence on morbidity and mortality [4–6].

To understand the effects of CPB on the inflammatory system, a wide spectrum of laboratory and clinical studies have been performed. Effects of coronary artery bypass grafting performed off-pump (OPCABG) and on-pump (CABG) have been compared in a review [7]. This study identified a broad network of molecules involved in CPB-induced immunomodulation, for example, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF), interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 were found to be increased in CABG patients compared to OPCABG patients postoperatively [8–10]. Moreover, complement activation was higher when CABG had been performed [11–13]. However, taking into account that the involved markers reach peak plasma levels at different clinical stages, comparisons made on inflammatory markers alone might be misinterpreted [14, 15]. For this reason it is desirable to assess perioperative immune alterations not exclusively by measuring the concentration of individually selected key mediators at different time points, but also at a functional level, by assessing the sensitivity or responsiveness of inflammatory effector cells.

One method to quantify functional changes in immunity for their relevance in differentiating patient groups makes use of a concept previously employed to diagnose genetic defects in Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling in a pediatric population by assessing cleavage of membrane-bound CD62L from granulocytes [16]. CD62L is an adhesion molecule present on the surface of blood granulocytes, inflammatory monocytes and naïve T cells. Upon activation of these cell types, CD62L is very rapidly enzymatically cleaved from the cell surface [17–19]. CD62L cleavage can be quantified per cell within whole unfractonated human blood by loss of staining with an antibody specific to the shed portion of the molecule. By stimulating whole blood with a dose-titration of pro-inflammatory stimuli (TLR ligands, TNF) it is possible to calculate ligand concentrations required to induce shedding of 50% of surface CD62L from monocytes and granulocytes. This method provides a quantitative measurement of granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity that could be easily compared between patients.

The aim of this pilot study was to investigate whether sensitivity of granulocytes and monocytes to microbial and cytokine stimuli, as monitored by concentrations required to induce CD62L shedding, is modulated in cardiac surgical patients in the post-CPB period. Parallel quantification of the perioperative cytokine response (IL-8), human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DR expression and the assessment of soluble plasma factors (sCD62L, sTLR-2) and ADAM17 activity has been
performed to obtain further information on perioperative immunomodulation for comparison with "CD62L shedding" results.

Materials and Methods

Patients

The study was approved by the institutional ethical review board (approval number: 041/09) and patients were enrolled after written informed consent. All patients underwent elective cardiac surgery procedures with the use of CPB. The inclusion criteria were: age ≥18 years and elective coronary or valve surgery. The exclusion criteria were: active infection, active liver disease, renal failure or steroid use. The investigation was performed as a single center pilot prospective observational study in a university hospital. Patients were recruited consecutively in order of their date of surgery.

Anesthesia and Surgery

All patients were anesthetized according to the clinical routine of the department. After premedication with lorazepam, general anesthesia was induced with midazolam, sufentanil and etomidate, muscular relaxation was achieved with pancuronium. Intraoperatively and on CPB anesthesia was maintained using a combination of isoflurane and continuous sufentanil infusion. After admission to postoperative intensive care a continuous infusion of propofol and boluses of fentanyl were used until patient’s extubation. All patients obtained 1.5 g cefuroxim preoperatively and after termination of CPB.

Conventional extracorporeal circulation (CECC) was used for all cases except isolated CABG surgery, which was managed using minimized extracorporeal circulation (MECC). CECC employed a standard circuit which consisted of tubings, a hollow-fiber membrane oxygenator, a roller pump, an arterial filter and a cardiotomy reservoir. The system was primed with a mixture of crystalloid (1000 ml) and colloid (800 ml) solution. The MECC consisted of a closed and preconnected system with a hollow-fiber membrane oxygenator and a centrifugal pump, which was primed with 600 ml of crystalloid solution. A neutrophil filter was not implemented in any of the bypass systems.

Cardiac surgery was performed using standard operation techniques. After median sternotomy a bolus of heparin was given (CECC: 500 IU/kg; MECC 400 IU/kg) prior to cannulation of the ascending aorta. The target activated clotting time (ACT) was >480 seconds (ACT Plus™, Medtronic Ltd., MN, USA). Furthermore, all patients received a bolus of tranexamic acid (30 mg/kg) followed by a continuous infusion (15 mg/kg/h) until the end of sternal closure. Once the CPB was initiated the aorta was cross-clamped and antegrade low-volume crystalloid cardioplegia (Cardioplexol, Laboratorium Dr. G. Bichsel AG, Interlaken, Switzerland) followed by high-potassium cold blood cardioplegia was delivered into the coronary arteries. After weaning from CPB heparin was reversed with protamin in the ratio 1:1 with regard to the initial heparin bolus. Finally, all patients were transferred to the intensive care unit.

Blood Sampling and Preparation

Blood sampling of 5 ml whole blood was performed at three time points in a heparinized and a citrate collection tube which were immediately transported to the laboratory. We chose citrate blood only for the CD62L-shedding assay as it lets us take into account the effects of procoagulatory signaling which is critical in innate immune activation. Furthermore, with the use of citrate, which is a weak Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator, we reduced the removal of calcium minimizing interactions with TLR signaling cascade.

The first sample (serving as control) was drawn after the radial arterial cannulation before induction of anesthesia. The second, at the beginning of the sternal wiring. The third, 48 hours after the first blood sampling.

CD62L-shedding Assay

Twenty-five µl citrate whole blood was stimulated with 25 µl of six 10-fold dose-titrations starting at 10 µg/ml (LTA, Invivogen, San Diego, USA), 20 ng/ml (TNF, R&D). After 45 minutes incubation at 37°C/5% CO\(_2\), cells were washed in PBS/BSA 1% and stained with 25 µl PBS/BSA 1% containing APC-anti-human CD33 (allowing identification of both granulocytes and monocytes) and remaining stable during stimulation [20] and FITC-anti-human CD62L antibodies (Biolegend, San Diego, USA) for 15 minutes. Red blood cells were lysed in 200 µl FACS Lysis solution (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) and acquired on a FACSArray SORP (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA). Blood monocytes and granulocytes were distinguished on the basis of CD33 expression and side scatter using FlowJo Software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, USA). Median FITC fluorescence intensity is computed for each sample’s granulocytes and monocytes and plotted against dilution factor to enable parallel analysis of multiple agonists. Four-parameter curves are fitted using non-linear regression and LogEC50 values were extracted, corresponding to the dilution factor giving 50% granulocyte or monocyte CD62L-shedding. The corresponding ligand concentration was calculated from the dilution factor and plotted for each ligand.

IL-8 ELISA

IL-8 levels in plasma were quantified using the BD OptEIA™ human IL-8 ELISA kit II (BD)
Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All samples were diluted 1:3 before loading onto the plate and measured in duplicates, as were all standards and blanks. The detection range (standard curve) was 3.1 to 200 pg/ml. Intra- and interassay variation ranged from 4 to 5.5 and 3.2 to 3.4%CV respectively (information provided by manufacturer).

**HLA-DR**

Fifty microlitre heparinized whole blood were stained with 20 µl Quantibrite™ anti-human-HLA-DR PE(phycoerythrin)/anti-monocyte PerCP (peridinin chlorophyll protein)-Cy5.5 (anti-human-CD14 and anti-human-CD64) (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) at room temperature in the dark, for 25 minutes. Red blood cells were subsequently lysed with FACS lysing solution (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) for five to ten minutes and washed twice with phosphate buffered saline solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and fixed with 400 µl 4% paraformaldehyde. One unstained sample was treated in the same manner. Fluorescence intensity of the samples was measured in duplicates on an LSR II Cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) using the software CellQuest (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA). 500–1000 monocyte events were recorded. For quantification of the signal Quantibrite™ PE beads (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) were acquired with each measurement. FACS data was analyzed with FlowJo v. 7.5 (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, USA) by gating for CD14 and CD64 positive cells (monocytes). The HLA-DR PE channel was calibrated using the data from the PE Beads, which allows the correlation of fluorescence intensity to the mean number of PE molecules per cell. The results were recorded as the geometric mean of the calibrated PE channel fluorescence intensity of each sample.

**sCD62L (sL-selectin) ELISA**

Plasma samples were diluted 1:200 and the sL-selectin concentration was measured with a human sL-selectin platinum ELISA kit (eBioscience, Vienna, Austria) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All standards, samples and controls were measured in duplicates. The detection range (standard curve) was 0.4 to 25 ng/ml. Intra- and interassay variation was 3.7 and 4.2%CV respectively, as declared by the manufacturer.

**sTLR-2**

sTLR-2 plasma concentrations were detected using a human TLR-2 ELISA kit (Cusabio, Wuhan, China). Samples were diluted 1:3 and measured together with standards and blanks in duplicate. The detection range (standard curve) was 0.312–20 ng/ml, intra- and interassay variation was given as 8 and 10%CV by the manufacturer.

**ADAM 17 (TACE) ELISA**

All samples were measured undiluted and in duplicates using a TACE human ELISA kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The detection range (standard curve) was 78.15–5000 pg/ml, intra- and interassay variation was given as 10 and 12%CV by the manufacturer.

Absorption was read at 450 nm for all measurements with an Elx800 Microplate reader (Biotek Instruments) and evaluated with Gen5 Software (v1.09). The blank absorption value was subtracted from all standards and samples. These values were then used to draw a standard curve from which the concentrations of the samples were derived. A coefficient of variation below 20% was considered acceptable.

**Statistical Analysis**

Categorical data are given as integral number, percentage or mean and standard deviation. For comparisons between groups or repeated measures, data normality was tested by the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Non-parametric testing was performed by Friedman Repeated Measures ANOVA on ranks with the Tukey post-hoc test for all pairwise multiple comparisons procedures. These data are presented as medians with interquartile ranges. The Pearson product moment correlation has been used for analyses between the tests quantifying immune sensitivity. For all statistical results a p-value of <0.05 was considered as significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the SigmaPlot software version 12.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

**Results**

**Demographics of the Study Population and Procedure Characteristics**

Twenty-five consecutive patients were included in the study. Fourteen patients underwent CABG surgery, 9 patients underwent valve surgery (VS) and two patients received combined surgery (CABG and VS). Eleven patients were treated using CECC whereas fourteen patients underwent perfusion using MECC (TABLE 1).
Table 1. Basic clinical and procedural characteristics according to the type of surgery or the type of ECC.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053045.t001

CD62L Shedding of Granulocytes and Monocytes after Stimulation with LTA

A ten-fold increase in the concentration of LTA from the gram positive bacterium *Staphylococcus aureus* required to activate both granulocytes (p = 0.001) and monocytes (p = 0.004) was apparent immediately post-surgery in a large fraction of patients (FIGURE 1A and B). In the majority of patients, sensitivity is recovered 48 hours post-surgery (TABLE 2).

Figure 1. Granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity by lipoteichoic acid stimulation.
1A and B. Granulocyte (A) and monocyte (B) sensitivity by lipoteichoic acid (LTA) stimulation was modified in the perioperative period. The figure shows the median values (long orange line) with interquartile ranges (short purple lines) at the different sampling points (*p<0.05 compared with the previous sampling point). Each black line represents data from an individual patient. The CD62L shedding assay was quantified by measuring the ligand concentration (LC)50. In the study setting LC50 represented the concentration of the stimulant which caused the shedding of 50% of the CD62L molecules from the surface of the cell type of interest. Thus, in the presented figure, higher values of LC50 represent a loss of sensitivity to stimulation with this particular agonist.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053045.g001

Table 2. Granulocyte and monocyte activation with different inflammatory stimuli.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053045.t002

CD62L Shedding of Granulocytes and Monocytes after Stimulation with TNF

We observed a roughly 10-fold decreased sensitivity of granulocytes to TNF stimulation immediately post-surgery, as revealed by a 10-fold increased concentration of TNF required to produce 50% loss of CD62L-staining on the cell surface (p = 0.01, TABLE 2). In contrast to changes in LTA sensitivity, the change in TNF sensitivity was not clearly observed in monocytes stimulated in the same blood samples (p = 0.057, FIGURE 2A and B). The change in TNF sensitivity was clearly heterogeneous between patients, i.e. approximately 50% of patients showed this change in sensitivity, whereas others maintained sensitivity throughout the operation period.

Figure 2. Granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity by tumor necrosis factor alpha stimulation.
Flow Cytometric Measurement of Monocytic HLA-DR Expression

In seventeen of the twenty-five patients analyzed for granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity, HLA-DR surface expression was quantified in CD14 and CD64 double-positive monocytic cells. This analysis showed a perioperative decrease in median density of surface HLA-DR on monocytes in patients treated with CPB ($p=0.001$, TABLE 2, FIGURE 3).

Association of HLA-DR Expression Data with CD62L Shedding to LTA and TNF

Regression analyses within our sample detected no significant statistical associations between the three sensitivity measures shown to change in the perioperative period (LTA/TNF: $r=0.10$, $p=0.40$; LTA/HLA-DR: $r=-0.21$, $p=0.078$; TNF/HLA-DR: $r=-0.13$, $p=0.28$).

Perioperative Plasma Levels of IL-8, sCD62L, sTLR-2 and ADAM17

These analyses were performed in a subset of patients (18/25) due to limited sample volume.

IL-8 plasma levels significantly increased after surgery ($p≤0.001$) and after 48 hours ($p≤0.001$) when compared with the preoperative values (FIGURE 4), whereas sCD62L plasma levels significantly decreased after surgery ($p≤0.001$) and after 48 hours ($p≤0.001$) in comparison with the preoperative levels (FIGURE 5). sTLR-2 showed a significant decrease between the sampling points “after surgery” and “48 hours.” ($p=0.004$, TABLE 3). The analysis of ADAM17 activity did not show significant differences between the different time points ($p=0.401$), but a trend of the medians was apparent towards higher values postoperatively and after 48 hours (TABLE 3).

Figure 4. Perioperative plasma levels of IL-8.
IL-8 concentration (pg/ml) in the perioperative course. The figure shows the median values (long orange line) with interquartile ranges (short purple lines) at the different sampling points (*$p<0.05$ compared with the previous sampling point; **$p<0.05$ compared with the first sampling point). Each black line represents data from an individual patient.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053045.g004
Perioperative plasma levels of sCD62L.

Figure 5. Perioperative plasma levels of sCD62L. sCD62L (ng/ml) concentration in the perioperative course. The figure shows the median values (long orange line) with interquartile ranges (short purple lines) at the different sampling points (*p<0.05 compared with the previous sampling point; †p<0.05 compared with the first sampling point). Each black line represents data from an individual patient. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053045.g005

Table 3. sTLR-2 and ADAM17 course during the observational period. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053045.t003

CD62L Shedding between Different Cardiopulmonary bypass Methods and Surgical Procedures

Changes in sensitivity of granulocytes and monocytes to LTA stimulation and granulocytes to TNF stimulation were observed similarly in patients undergoing both CECC and MECC. Additionally, no difference was seen between patients undergoing CABG or VS (TABLE 4).

Table 4. Granulocyte and monocyte stimulation in different bypass techniques and surgeries. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053045.t004

Discussion

This pilot study investigated perioperative immunomodulation in cardiac surgery patients treated with CPB, using a novel CD62L shedding assay. In contrast to methods quantifying cytokine levels in serum or cell surface phenotypes, the CD62L-shedding assay allowed direct quantification of the immunologically relevant function of blood granulocytes and monocytes. Moreover, the comparison of our observations to established methods of quantifying immune change indicate that monitoring of perioperative immunomodulation by the CD62L shedding assay could contribute to a more complete interpretation of perioperative immune response by assessing the sensitivity of inflammatory effector cells to microbial stimulation on a functional level.

The shedding of the extracellular domain of the CD62L receptor is detectable minutes after cell activation [17]–[19]. Previous studies have measured CD62L shedding by ELISA, but such methods either cannot identify the cellular source of shed CD62L if carried out on whole blood, or require lengthy cell purification [21]. Instead, we have made use of a technique developed by Slack et al. that uses the cleavage of the membrane bound CD62L molecules as a surrogate parameter for early cell activation (manuscript in preparation). In contrast to previous studies examining the diagnostic value of changes in CD62L expression levels during various disease states [11], [21]–[23], our data is entirely independent of absolute CD62L-expression levels [24], [26]. In this regard the CD62L shedding assay is a novel method to monitor neutrophil responsiveness using a range of agonist concentrations from sub-saturating to fully saturating and fitting 4-parameter curves to calculate a sensitivity independently of the absolute receptor load on the cell surface. This avoids potential loss of information when “close-to-saturating” doses are applied, potentially revealing no altered absolute CD62L level despite a change in sensitivity. Therefore, our method provides different information regarding cell functionality from the information gleaned from the absolute receptor number per cell directly ex vivo.

As showed by Pillay et al. the identification of human neutrophil subsets can further contribute to
cannot exclude that this is simply due to under-powering of our study. At this stage, however, we agree with published absolute molecule numbers per cell preoperatively, in the post-surgical period and after 48 hours. Moreover the results confirmed previously observed decrease in expression levels post-CPB. Additionally, elevated soluble TLR2 levels in plasma correspond to decreased sensitivity to the TLR2/6 agonist LTA, suggesting that an important modulator of sensitivity to TLR2 agonists post-surgery may be secretion of the soluble receptor form. However, due to limited marker usage, a full investigation into underlying mechanisms would require a follow-up study to elucidate.

Our presented method thus evaluated the sensitivity of monocytes and granulocytes to an ex vivo microbial stimulation by quantifying the LC50 value, always defined as the concentration of agonist applied ex vivo that elicits a 50% drop in CD62L MFI. A variety of underlying mechanisms, including presence of soluble antagonists, cell activation and appearance of novel subsets, may alter the observed LC50, making this potentially a useful read-out of a broad range of immune changes.

Given the preliminary nature of this pilot study and the size and diversity of the cohort studied, it is surprising that such marked differences in granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity could be observed immediately post-surgery. However, some clues to the relevance may come from examining why changes in responsiveness are observed specifically to LTA and TNF. Explanations could either involve modulation of receptor and signaling component expression in pre-existing cells by the blood milieu during surgery, or release of less mature granulocytes and monocytes into the circulation from bone marrow stores which may have a slightly different expression profile of receptors and signaling components for the TLR2/6 and TNF receptor pathways.

A well-established phenomenon in immunobiology is that of endotoxin tolerance, i.e. exposure to Lipopolysaccharide from gram negative Escherichia coli is followed by suppressed responsiveness to stimulation with the same agonist [27]. Dicylated lipopeptides that form the ligands for both TLR2 receptor complex are common major components of the cell walls of gram positive bacteria. Hence, it may be relevant to note that Hamers and colleagues found live culturable bacteria in around 1% of the priming circuit cultures and 5.6% of the CPB blood cultures [28]. About 80% of the positive priming circuit cultures and about 70% of the CPB blood cultures were infected with gram positive cocci. Such bacterial species are very common skin commensal bacteria that the patient may be exposed to during these long surgical procedures. However, for this to explain our data, the recovery rate of live bacteria would have to massively underestimate the actual exposure as almost all of the 25 patients examined showed loss of sensitivity to TLR2/6 ligands compare to a predicted 1–6% contamination rate of patient blood. An alternative explanation is suggested by the observed post-surgical myelocytosis in patient blood. The bone marrow harbors a massive store of blood granulocytes and monocytes, with only a small percentage of these cells present in the circulation [29]. Activation of damage-sensing pathways by the surgical procedure and blood contact to foreign surfaces is a strong stimulus for bone marrow myeloid pool mobilization, releasing a large number of “fresh” granulocytes and monocytes into the peripheral circulation. It is highly possible that newly released cells require several hours to develop the full repertoire of signaling receptors and transducers present on fully mature granulocytes and monocytes, or that the results of a final maturation step in the “activated” surgical milieu produces a different mature state to that developed under resting conditions. Thus, altered sensitivity to LTA and TNF is likely to be the result of activator signals received by the patient immune system, either due to exposure to contaminating gram positive bacteria, or directly due to the surgical procedure. Likewise, the severity of deviation in sensitivity may well be relevant during the postoperative period when infections with gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus are a major concern. Unfortunately, our small study allows no pertinent conclusions due to small sample size, diversity of surgical procedures and perfusion techniques. Moreover, our pilot feasibility study was not designed in this direction.

To validate the presented CD62L shedding-assay and to gain a more complete insight into the perioperative inflammatory state, in particular the change in neutrophil response, the application of established methods and the analysis of multiple markers would be desirable as proposed by Pillay [24]. For this reason the perioperative course of IL-8, HLA-DR, sCD62L, sTLR-2 and ADAM17 has been investigated additionally to CD62L shedding assay.

The measured IL-8 results are in accordance with other groups [30–32] and confirm a proinflammatory response post-CPB in our cohort. However, the IL-8 course is more of general character being affected by a great variability of intraoperative factors and does not allow conclusions about the functional immune status in the patients. Likewise, our HLA-DR results confirmed previously observed decrease in expression levels post-CPB. Moreover the results agree with published absolute molecule numbers per cell preoperatively. In the post-surgical period and after 48 hours [33]. The lack of any mutual association of HLA-DR expression data and sensitivity to LTA or TNF in our cohort may well indicate that these three responses represent independent aspects of post-surgical immune function. At this stage, however, we cannot exclude that this is simply due to under-powering of our study.
The perioperative course of sCD62L plasma concentration as a further surrogate marker of in vivo neutrophil activation was in line with other investigations [34][35]. The reduced levels of sCD62L were presumed to reflect the reduced CD62L shedding in the postoperative period and after 48 hours, which is highly suggestive of a (temporary) decrease of circulating neutrophil activity [36] in keeping with our observations for decreased neutrophil sensitivity to TNF and lipoteichoic acid.

The sTLR-2 increase after the surgical procedure was accompanied by an increase of the LC50 values for LTA, as determined with the “CD62L-shedding assay” reflecting decreased granulocyte and monocyte reactivity. Also, at the time point “48 hrs.” the decreased sTLR-2 values were accompanied by decreased LC50 values showing normalization of immune reactivity. Thus it is likely that the previously assumed role of sTLR-2 as a negative regulator of TLR-2 mediated signaling pathways [37] is a major contributor to the altered responsiveness to LTA observed post-surgery.

The analysis of ADAM17 confirms perioperative inflammation as measured by different markers in this study. However, we were not able to detect a direct correlation between ADAM17 and CD62L shedding pattern (ADAM17 levels remained still high after 48 hrs.). This result maybe reflects previous findings on ADAM17 regulating CD64L’s surface density (which we did not directly quantify) but not the cleavage (which was the major read-out used to determine LC50 measurements of sensitivity) [38].

In conclusion, monitoring granulocyte and monocyte sensitivity using the “CD62L shedding assay” in the perioperative period in cardiac surgical patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass reveals common changes in sensitivity to TLR2/6 ligands and to TNF stimulus. Our data support the further investigation of this novel assay to provide complementary information to currently established methods for the interpretation of perioperative immune responsiveness.

Further long-term follow-up studies with larger and more homogenous cohorts will help to address the predictive value of these observations for clinical purposes and to elucidate whether the described changes relate to the exact surgical procedure or inter-individual variation.

Limitations of the Study

This study has several limitations. The main limitation is probably its small sample size with a heterogeneous patient population undergoing different cardiac surgical operations using different cardiopulmonary bypass techniques. As a consequence, the study cannot draw pertinent conclusions on the diagnostic relevance of our observations. However, the current study was intended to investigate the feasibility of CD62L-shedding measurements in assessment of patients during surgical procedures. Follow-up studies will now be able to take this observation of feasibility to evaluate the effect of cardiac surgery on the immune responsiveness and to discriminate differences in the peri-operative immune sensitivity between variable perioperative conditions.
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