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This is a book every modern control engineer should have on his/her reference bookshelf. It is worth the $89.00 price even if you have to pay for it out of your personal funds. First, it collects in one place, in consistent notation, all of the information on computational Intelligence (CI), such as Neural Networks (NN), Fuzzy Logic (FL), Genetic Algorithms (GA), and other acronyms like SA, radial basis function networks (RBFN’s), etc., that you always wanted to know but were afraid to ask regarding the mass of jargons that have grown over the years in the subject. Second, this is a thoroughly modern book complete with Matlab exercises, companion floppy disks (for the asking from the publisher), and websites for the latest updates and resources. Third, the book is remarkably restrained in its hype for the subject, which cannot be said for many other works in this area. Finally, there is useful information in here that control engineers should know beyond the traditional tools of the trade such as $H^\infty$, robustness, LQG, Lyapunov, and other differential equation/frequency domain-based techniques.

Having said this, let me provide a guided tour for the readers and some reservations.1 Before doing this, however, we shall first state equations 1997). Reviewed by Yu-Chi Ho.

II. Iteration Tradeoff Between Estimation and Updating

When you are trying to solve a stochastic optimization problem, Min$_\xi$(Max$_\theta$)$E_{\xi}[L(\theta, \xi)]$, or the equivalent root finding problem, Grad$_\xi E_{\xi}[L(\theta, \xi)] = 0$, via successive approximation, you face the following tradeoff. Since the value of any expectation, $E[L]$, must be estimated, one must decide on how accurately to estimate the quantity before using it for the purpose of updating or hill climbing. For a finite computing budget, one can either spend most of the budget on estimating each iterative step very accurately and settle for fewer steps, or estimate each step very poorly but use the budget to calculate many iterative steps. In the former, you have accurate information but have few chances of improvement, while in the latter you have poorer information but can afford many chances for improvement. Particularly in dynamic system optimization problems, one has the issue of trading updating (hill climbing) a strategy/policy/control law after every state transition, or postponing the updating until the entire trajectory based on the policy is played out, or anywhere in between. The choice of the step length parameter in the Robbins–Munro stochastic approximation algorithm is another quantitative manifestation of this tradeoff consideration for convergence purposes.

III. Statistical Function Fitting

The generic situation is that we have a mass of data and we wish to summarize it using a model with much fewer parameters. Once thus modeled, we can economically use the model in place of the original data and/or generate new data which we hope will have the same statistical properties as the original (the so-called generalization property). The simplest version of this process is linear regression,

---

1 We should point out that solving the Bellman equation in stochastic dynamic programming is a problem of this type.
where you use a linear parametric model to least square fit a set of given data. The fit is declared complete if the “residue” can be identified as i.i.d. noises. This is in the sense of all “information” having been squeezed out of the data, and there is no way to further predict the white noise residue. More generally, nonlinear models can be used for the fit. And if the black box generating the data is known to have a certain structure, then the same structure should be adopted in the fitting model. This is the basis of the Kalman filter which in essence says that using polynomials to fit general dynamic systems output is inefficient and plainly wrong. We should use the system responses themselves as the fitting functions. This is why we see the presence of both the system dynamic equation and the observation equation in the filter. On the other hand, if nothing is known about the source generating the data, then a general model-free architecture is adopted. There are many generic “model free” candidates for data fitting starting with polynomials, Fourier series, to wavelets, RBFN’s, and other NN’s, each with their advantages and disadvantages. For example, wavelets and certain neural networks, unlike the Fourier series or threshold functions, have the property that the response of individual units/nodes are localized and drop to zero as inputs approach infinity. This property can be important for many modern applications.

IV. COMBINATORIAL EXPLOSION OF STATE SPACE

Many problems/techniques are conceptually simple and elegant to explain and execute, but they do not scale up well, i.e., the computations involved grow exponentially with the size of the problem. This renders such techniques impractical. The entire spectrum of NP-hard combinatorial optimization problems, such as the traveling salesman problem, belong in this category. In our realm, this difficulty often crops up as the size of the state space or the “curse of dimensionality.” In fact, without this fundamental difficulty, dynamic programming would have declared the end of control theory research way back in the 1960’s. In the writing of academic papers, we tend to ignore or sidestep this fundamental but unpleasant fact. However, awareness of it helps to explain why certain research directions are taken and others not and to assess what technique is practical and what is merely conceptual.4

Now we can review the book in terms of these four fundamental ideas described above. We shall use shorthand notations, dynamic to static conversion (D → S), estimating versus updating (EvU), statistical function fitting (SFF), and combinatorial explosion (CE), respectively, to reference these four ideas and the concepts behind them.

The book begins with an excellent introductory chapter explaining what is CI and its difference and relationship to artificial intelligence (AI). The next three chapters (Chs. 2–4) constituting Part I of the book deal with FL. We shall postpone its discussion until later. Part II (Chs. 5–7) succinctly reviews techniques of real variable-based optimization, in particular, least square fit, iterative hill climbing using gradients, and derivative-free optimization searching including genetic algorithm and simulated annealing commonly associated with discrete or structureless optimization problems.

This material is immediately put to use in Part III (Chs. 8–11) on NN’s and learning. The chapters are well written and comprehensive. This reviewer found that the material is best approached from the following perspective. “Learning” is the root of all intelligence, natural or artificial. We used the word in the sense of the paradigm:

\[ \text{DATA} \Rightarrow \text{INFORMATION} \Rightarrow \text{KNOWLEDGE} \]

or the SFF idea discussed above. Learning with a teacher is often used when both the input and the desired output is explicitly given as in NN learning or pattern classification. Reinforcement learning refers to cases where the desired output may be partially known, such as either right or wrong, but not how right or how wrong.5 Finally, learning without supervision such as in clustering is really based on the implicit criterion of similarity and principal component analysis or as in filtering is based on the criterion of innovation residue (refer again to the SFF idea above). In short, we shall argue that the distinctions between “learning with a teacher,” “reinforcement learning,” and “learning without supervision” are somewhat artificial. They are all problems that attempt to convert raw experience into digested knowledge under some form of explicit or implicit guidance via the solution of an optimization problem.

However, learning under dynamic constraints (Ch. 10) imposes additional difficulties. A principal issue is a more involved identification of cause-and-effect in the sense that future desired output now depends on all past input. This is sometimes referred to as the credit assignment problem, i.e., which past input deserves credit for the success in current output. This is where the idea D → S enters. Dynamic programming is invoked to convert the problem to a series of static learning problems. The price one pays is the determination of the optimal cost-to-go function, V (state), and the solution of the Bellman equation. In general, of course, the Bellman equation cannot be solved in closed form, and iterative computations are required. Here the idea of estimation versus updating (EvU) the V function comes into play. The techniques of policy iteration and TD(λ) discussed in Chapter 10 are simply different tradeoffs of EvU when attempting to determine the optimal cost-to-go function V (state) by solving the Bellman equation. Q-learning is also another related approach to the solution of the Bellmen equation. Finally, because of the CE difficulty, instead of trying to solve V (state) for each state, we attempt to use neural networking as SFF to determine V approximately. This is the rationale behind the neuro-dynamic programming method of Bertsekas–Tsitsiklis.

Having reduced the problem of dynamic constraints into static problems, we can concentrate on the static version of the problem of learning. It is here multilayered-perceptron or neural networks (MLP/NN) found their roles. Chapters 8 and 9 explain the basics of MLP/NN as model-free SFF in the sense described above. Since SFF requires the solution of an optimization (often least square fit) problem, techniques of Part II are used effectively here to explain learning with a teacher, back propagation, hybrid learning, and mixed linear and nonlinear least square fit. Finally, unsupervised learning in Chapter 11 can be considered as SFF optimization problems with built-in or implicit teachers based on similarity or other criterion.6 RBFN’s and Hopfield networks are simply additional families of fitting functions used in these settings, although the latter can be viewed as SFF only in a general sense.

Returning to Part I (Chs. 2–4) on FL, we find something new, i.e., outside the fundamental ideas 1–4 above. Over the years Zadeh has persuasively maintained that interactions with the human-made word ultimately must be done via human languages which are imprecise and fuzzy. One way or another, analysis cannot proceed without some translation between the human and the mathematical languages. For example, to capture the experience-based control rule “if the temperature is HIGH and the pressure is LOW, then turn up knob K by a SMALL amount,” we must define what we mean by the

4 We wish to thank W. Gong for pointing out that the problem of CE sometime arises by way of complex constraints. Contributions of the algorithms of linear and integer programming are due to the way they efficiently handle constraints. For the same reason, uniform sampling in a general irregular region can be very difficult practically.

5 In the book and in the literature, reinforcement learning is particularly associated with learning in a dynamic setting.

6 In learning to design a linear/nonlinear filter, the criterion is to reduce the estimation error residue to i.i.d. white noise.
capitalized words. FL, provides a systematic and quantitative way of doing this versus ad hoc approximations as practices in the art of engineering. In traditional system analysis, we are also principally concerned with a mapping $y = f(x)$, where $f$ may represent a very complex composition of functions. In a sentence, Chapters 2–4 deal with the same issues when $x$, $y$, and more importantly $f$ may be given in human language terms as well as in mathematical languages (e.g., the if-then rule stated above in English). It is this translation ability provided by FL that enables the systematic incorporation of human language-based experience into learning techniques of Part III reviewed above. This important contribution brings us directly into Part IV (Chs. 12 and 13) and Part V (Chs. 14–16).

FL also enables us to capture human experience quantitatively and systematically into learning models. In particular, we can divine model structures or architectures from various fuzzy if-then rules or human language-based descriptions of the systems. Such “knowledge” helps learning immensely versus the model-free or black box learning approaches of Part III. The ANFIS and CANFIS architecture in this part demonstrate the advantage of incorporating this structural knowledge. Chapters 14–16 also address some issues not commonly encountered in continuous variable system modeling, namely, the identification of structure in discrete sets. The pruning of regression trees and structure identification in rule-bases have their parallels in the datamining literature.

The central theme here is the problem of overfitting of data or how to be parsimonious with respect to parameters in SFF.

Parts VI and VII finally deal with the application of the ideas discussed above to case studies involving the control of dynamic systems, pattern recognition, game playing, and complex industrial problems. It is here that this reviewer finds the promises of CI not completely fulfilled. This is not particularly the fault of the authors but rather an indication of the relative youth of the field which is sometimes obscured by the enthusiasm of people working in it. In fact, to the authors’ credit they are honest about the shortcomings of the state-of-the-art of CI in the book (e.g., p. 434 on knowledge acquisition). In the chapters of neuro-fuzzy control and on advanced application, the original challenge of parking a car advanced by Zadeh at the start of FL research some 32 years ago remains untouched. Except for the part played by the translation ability of FL, it is not entirely convincing to this reviewer that the problems could not have been solved by traditional techniques. Whether it is the “knowledge” about the problem or the power of the techniques of CI that contributed more to the solution of the application cases is less clear.

In fact, the importance of knowledge is made abundantly evident when in Chapter 22 the straightforward application of model free MLP and genetic algorithms without structural knowledge resulted in inferior performances. Knowledge acquisition is of course the $64$ million question in AI or CI. We know relatively little about how-to-capture and what-is knowledge.

It is not the fault of the book that this problem remains unsolved. Lastly, this reviewer feels that insufficient attention or emphasis has been paid to the issue of CE. Not all CI techniques scale up well. And the reader should not read more into the techniques than there is. Just to mention one particular example, GA requires the evaluation of the “fitness” of a population of possible alternatives. It is routinely assumed that in the implementation of GA this fitness can be easily evaluated. However, in many real world problems, this fitness evaluation can only be “estimated” via a computationally intensive Monte Carlo simulation, e.g., the performance of a complex semiconductor manufacturing plant, or a multi-service military logistic supply chain under a given operational strategy. In such cases, one iteration of a GA may impose an infeasible computational burden on the algorithm. Such a computational burden faces the fundamental limit of simulation and cannot be improved. Such warnings are not often given or emphasized in academic papers and books.

In fact, it is the opinion of this reviewer that the resolution of the CE problem is intimately related to the problem of knowledge acquisition and heuristics. NP-hardness is a fundamental limitation on what computation can do. Quantifying heuristics and acquiring structural knowledge seems to be the only salvation for the effective solution of complex real problems. In fact, these are the reasons for the continuing existence of human researchers and problem solvers.

Despite these reservations, this reviewer urges the control community to embrace the subject of CI and recommends this book. CI is mind broadening and opens up a host of real world problems beyond those differential equation-based problems that this TRANSACTIONS has lived with for the past 35 years. The methodologies are not hard to learn (in fact quite familiar once you get beyond the jargons and acronyms) when viewed in terms of the perspective of ideas 1–4 above. More importantly, readers of this TRANSACTIONS have a great deal to contribute because of such perspectives. While there are numerous fine books on the subject of fuzzy logic, genetic algorithms, and neural networks individually, this book puts all the subjects together in one place and shows their respective places in CI. The reviewer congratulates the authors for this timely book.
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7 Refer also to the remark about the ANFIS and CANFIS structure mentioned in the previous paragraph and Chs. 12 and 13.

8 We are reminded of the famous observation of Supreme Court Justice Potter Stewart who remarks that he cannot define pornography but he knows it when he see it. “Knowledge” fits the same dictum. We also use the word “knowledge” in a more general and amorphous sense than knowledge discovery in datamining for databases.

9 The confidence interval of a Monte Carlo simulation cannot be improved upon faster than 1/(square root of the length of simulation). To increase accuracy by one order of magnitude requires a two-order or magnitude increase in computation cost.
Neuro-Fuzzy and Soft Computing provides the first comprehensive treatment of the constituent methodologies underlying neuro-fuzzy and soft computing, an evolving branch of computational intelligence. The constituent methodologies include fuzzy set theory, neural networks, data clustering techniques, and several stochastic optimization methods that do not require gradient information. The book is well suited for use as a text for courses on computational intelligence and as a single reference source for this emerging field. To help readers understand the material the presentation includes more than 50 examples, more than 150 exercises, over 300 illustrations, and more than 150 Matlab scripts.